PUBLIC NOTICE



Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9190, materials listed below pertaining to Measure J-16 on the November 8, 2016, Consolidated Presidential General Election are hereby submitted for 10-day public examination. During the 10-day examination period provided by this section, any voter of the jurisdiction in which the election is being held may seek a writ of mandate or injunction requiring any or all of the materials to be amended or deleted. The writ of mandate or injunction request shall be filed not later than the end of the 10-calendar-day public examination period. A peremptory writ of mandate or an injunction shall be issued only upon clear and convincing proof that the material in question is false, misleading, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Elections Code, and that issuance of the writ or injunction will not substantially interfere with the printing or distribution of the official election materials as provided by law.

Materials	Public Examination Period
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor	August 20, 2016 – August 29, 2016
Rebuttal to Argument Against	

Dated: August 19, 2014 Tommy Gong

San Luis Obispo County Clerk-Recorder

By: Elaina Cano

Assistant County Clerk-Recorder

Rebuttal Against the Favor Argument on J

San Luis Obispo County taxpayers cannot count on the Governor, State Legislature, nor County Board of Supervisors to make transportation infrastructure a major priority. They haven't! Most cities within this county have a sales tax for transportation, and now all levels of government are asking you to vote for an additional county sales tax, even though the already high state taxes and fees designated for transportation have been diverted to the state general fund for bullet trains, public pensions and the bloated, inefficient Caltrans.

California's current per gallon gasoline tax, vehicle registration tax, driver's license fees, vehicle license fees, greenhouse gas emissions tax, and truck weight tax are the highest in the nation, but still result in substandard road conditions. The Orange County Business Journal reports..."As it is, only 20 cents out of every transportation tax dollar that you pay actually hits the pavement." Why let the Sacramento and local politicians off the hook, then add insult to injury by asking you to pay again?

The solution is not more taxes, but it's putting rigorous state safeguards in place to ensure the money collected for transportation goes to fix our roads. Those cheerleading for the sales tax are the very ones who will benefit through lucrative contracts, while you, the forgotten taxpayer, will pay 225 million dollars over nine years. Like that famous movie quote, "I'll be back!", they will be back asking for more in nine years. Taxpayers need to provide tough love by saying NO ON J!

FILED

AUG 1 9 2016

---- CONC COUNTY CLERK

DEPUTY CLEIN

SIGNATURE STATEMENT

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the California Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument. Only the first five signatures will be printed in the Sample Ballot/Voter Information Pamphlet.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Argument in Favor of Rebuttal to the Argument Against	☐ Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of		
ballot measure (insert letter)			
at the (insert type of election - Primary, General, Spec	cial) General Election		
for the County (insert name of jurisdiction - County, Special District, School District)			
to be held on (insert election date) Novemb			
argument is true and correct to the best of (insert his,	her or their) <u>their</u> knowledge and belief.		
Signed	Date 8-/18/16		
Print Name ANDRE A HIS SEASTRAND PRESIDENT			
Signed	Date 8-/8-/6		
Print Name TERRI STRICKLIN			
Signed			
Print Name WALT NEIL SON SECRET	TARYITREASURER		
Signed	Date		
Print Name	_		
Signed	Date		
Print Name	_		
Contact person: ANDREA H. SEASTRAND	Phone # <u>805-720-674</u> 5		
ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL filed by (check any of the fo ☐ Board of Supervisors or Governing Board	ollowing that apply):		
☐ Bona Fide Sponsors or Proponents of the Measure			
Bona Fide Association of Citizens- Name of Associ	ciation: Central Coast Paxpayers		
	ENT TERRI STRICILLINI SECRETI SLO County Cattlemen's Association PAC Walt Nielson/ Secretary-Treasurer	张二	

Rebuttal to Argument Against to Measure J

We completely agree with the Central Coast Taxpayers Association that Sacramento politicians have failed to respond to the needs of San Luis Obispo County. The question we have to ask ourselves is, do we honestly think that those elected by Los Angeles and San Francisco voters are suddenly going to see the light and start fixing our local roads and highways?

Local Republicans and Democrats agree we have to take charge of our crumbling infrastructure, and bypass the state bureaucracy. We say that because;

- a) The Sacramento political machine isn't going to change, at least not anytime soon, and
- b) Delaying repairs will only make the eventual costs skyrocket.

In other words, the sooner we get busy fixing the problem, the less it will cost in the long run.

Remember, every dime raised by Measure J stays right here in San Luis Obispo County, for *our* roads, *our* highways and to meet *our* transportation needs. Every dime.

Just as important, the repairs and improvements affect every area of the county, so whether you live in a city or a rural community, you will benefit directly from the carefully crafted and well-designed approach of Measure J.

Our local planners have done an excellent job to make sure we target the most urgent needs first. That's the fiscally responsible way to get the job done.

We urge you to join with your friends and neighbors across San Luis Obispo County in voting "Yes" on Measure J.

Shelly Higginbotham Mayor, City of Pismo Beach

Barbara Nicolls Council Member, City of Grover Beach

Fred Strong Councilman, City of Paso Robles FILED

Noreen Martin Past President, Visit SLO County AUG 1 9 2016